Filed 02/05/2008

Page 1 of 4

### Case 3:07-cv-04844-EDL Document 13 Filed 02/05/2008 Page 2 of 4

### Jurisdiction and Service

The parties agree that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. section 1132(a). Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section 1331 because this action arises under the laws of the United States of America. 29 U.S.C. section 1132(e)(1) provides for Federal District Court jurisdiction.

All parties are subject to this Court's jurisdiction.

All parties have been served.

#### Facts

Plaintiff was a participant in the Bank of America Group Benefits Program.

Plaintiff was receiving benefits from Defendant's Long Term Disability ("LTD") plan, insured by Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("Met Life"). In December of 2005, Met Life determined that Plaintiff was no longer disabled and terminated his LTD benefits. Plaintiff appealed Met Life's determination, and his LTD benefits were retroactively reinstated on December 26, 2006.

Plaintiff claims that when his LTD benefits were terminated, Defendant Bank of America Group Benefits Program terminated his health and life insurance benefits. Defendant claims that Plaintiff's entitlement to those benefits was terminated prior to the cessation of LTD benefits, due to Plaintiff's failure to pay the premiums on those benefits.

On February 1, 2008, Plaintiff stipulated that he would dismiss Defendant Bank of America Self Insured Medical Plan along with his first Claim for Relief.

## Legal Issues

Whether Plaintiff is entitled to reinstatement of life insurance benefits through the Life Insurance Plan.

#### Motions

There are currently no motions pending. Plaintiff does not anticipate filing any motions, unless there is a dispute over discovery. Defendant anticipates filing a motion for summary judgment.

# 5. Amendment of Pleadings

|    | Case 3:07   | -cv-04844-EDL Document 13 Filed 02/05/2008 Page 3 of 4                               |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | The Parties do not anticipate filing amended pleadings. |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | 6.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  |   | Defendant has notified the appropriate witnesses of the necessity to preserve        |  |  |  |
| 4  | documentat  | documentation relevant to this matter.   |  |  |  |
| 5  | 7.  | Disclosures  |  |  |  |
| 6  |   | Disclosures pursuant to F.R.C.P. Rule 26 will be made shortly following the initial  |  |  |  |
| 7  | CMC.  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | 8.  | Discovery  |  |  |  |
| 9  |   | No discovery has taken place to date, although Defendant has informally provided     |  |  |  |
| 10 | Plaintiff with certain documents relevant to his claim. |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 9,  | Class Actions  |  |  |  |
| 12 |   | This is not a class action.  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 10.   | Related Cases  |  |  |  |
| 14 |   | There are no other related cases.  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 11.   | Relief   |  |  |  |
| 16 |   | This is a complaint for declaratory relief.  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 12.   | Settlement and ADR   |  |  |  |
| 18 |   | The Parties have selected ENE for the ADR process                                    |  |  |  |
| 19 | 13.   | Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes   |  |  |  |
| 20 |   | Both Parties consent to the use of a Magistrate Judge for all purposes.              |  |  |  |
| 21 | 14.   | Other References   |  |  |  |
| 22 |   | This case is not suitable for binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial |  |  |  |
| 23 | Panel on Mu   | Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.   |  |  |  |
| 24 | 15.   | Narrowing of Issues  |  |  |  |
| 25 |   | None.  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 16.   | Expedited Schedule   |  |  |  |
| 27 |   | The Parties believe that this case would be suitable for handling on an expedited    |  |  |  |
| 28 | basis.  |  |  |  |  |

| 1  | 17.   | Scheduling   |   |  |  |
|----|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2  |   | Discovery cutoff: June 6, 2008   |   |  |  |
| 3  |   | Hearing of dispositive motions: June 27, 2008  |   |  |  |
| 4  |   | Pretrial conference: August 29, 2008. The parties request that the Court set a trial |   |  |  |
| 5  | date at the Pr  | the Pretrial conference.   |   |  |  |
| 6  | 18.   | <u>Trial</u>   |   |  |  |
| 7  |   | This case is a bench trial and is expected to last one day.                          |   |  |  |
| 8  | 19.   | Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons                               |   |  |  |
| 9  | Plaintiff has made his Certification of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons, as            |  |   |  |  |
| 10 | 1) Plaintiff, 2) Defendant, and 3) Defendant's Insurers. Defendant has filed a Certification of |  |   |  |  |
| 11 | Non-party Interested Entities or Persons as 1) Bank of America Corporation, and 2) Bank of      |  |   |  |  |
| 12 | America, National Association.  |  |   |  |  |
| 13 | 20.   | Other Matters  |   |  |  |
| 14 |   | None.  |   |  |  |
| 15 | HORNTON DAVIDSON  |  |   |  |  |
| 16 |   | The EF   | RISA Law Group                            |  |  |
| 17 |   |  | 26  |  |  |
| 18 |   | ( -16  | THORNTON DAVIDSON                         |  |  |
| 19 | Attorneys for Plaintiff James Michael Brown   |  |   |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |   |  |  |
| 21 | Dated: Februa   | KRIST  | CIA K. GILLETTE<br>EN M. JACOBY           |  |  |
| 22 |   | Orrick,  | Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP                |  |  |
| 23 |   | V  | , 1                                       |  |  |
| 24 |   | _ nu   | PATRICIA K. GILLETTE                      |  |  |
| 25 |   |  | KRISTEN M. JACOBY Attorneys for Defendant |  |  |
| 26 |   | Bar  | nk of America Group Benefits Program      |  |  |
| 27 |   |  |   |  |  |
| 28 |   |  |   |  |  |

Case 3:07-cv-04844-EDL Document 13 Filed 02/05/2008 Page 4 of 4